#### Remarks

The final Office Action dated March 3, 2010 has been carefully considered. Claims 1 and 18 have been amended without addition of new matter. Claims 26 and 27 have been cancelled without prejudice to any Applicants' rights. Reconsideration of the current claims in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

#### Interview Summary

Attorney for Applicants thanks Examiner Dollinger for the courtesies extended during the telephonic interview conducted on February 25, 2010. Also, Attorney for Applicants generally agrees with the Interview Summary dated 3/8/2010 prepared by Examiner Gulakowski, Supervisory Patent Examiner, in connection with that personal interview.

# Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

In Paragraph 1 of the Office Action, claims 1-6, 8-11, 18-20, 23, 24, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith et al. (US 7,173,086 B2).

In Paragraph 6 of the Office Action, claims 1-6, 8-11, 18-20, 23, 24, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith et al. (US 7,173,086 B2) with further evidence provided by Chem Crete.

Withdrawal of these two rejections is requested in view of the attached Verification of Translation (Appendix A) of priority document DE 103 34 286.9. The Verification of Translation perfects the current application's benefit claim, thereby eliminating Smith et al as prior art. Applicants respectfully request the examiner withdraw the rejections of claims 1-6, 8-11, 18-20, 23, 24, and 28.

## Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

In Paragraph 11, of the Office Action, claims 1-6, 8-11, 18-20, 23, 24, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mukaida et al. (EP 0 612 533 or US 5,672,419) in view of Sun et al. (US 6,124,391). Applicants have amended claims 1 and 18, on which the other claims are dependent, and have cancelled claims 26 and 27 without prejudice to the subject matter found therein. In light of these amendments, Mukaida et al, either alone or in combination with Sun et al., does not suggest, teach or disclose the elements of the current invention. Applicants respectfully request the examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 1-6, 8-11, 18-20, 23, 24, and 28.

### **Double Patenting**

In Paragraph 21 of the Office Action, claims 1-6, 8-11, 18-20, 23, 24, 26, and 27 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 11, and 24 of U.S. Patent No. 7,173,086 B2. Applicants request this rejection be held in abeyance until allowable subject matter is identified.

#### Conclusion

In light of the remarks and amendments to the claims presented herein, Applicants submit that the present application is in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested. If, however, any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is invited to telephone Applicants' counsel at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Clara R. Cottrell/

Clara R. Cottrell Registration No. 63,861

SMITH MOORE LEATHERWOOD LLP P.O. Box 21927 Greensboro, NC 27420 (336) 378-5597 clara.cottrell@smithmoorelaw.com

Date: May 3, 2010

File No. 5003073.071US1

#### CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS BEING TRANSMITTED VIA EFS-WEB TO THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ADDRESSED TO: MAIL STOP AF, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, ON May 3, 2010 (Date)

Lorna D. Selvaggio
Name of Depositor

/Lorna D. Selvaggio/
Signature

May 3, 2010
Date of Signature

# APPENDIX A

Verification of Translation for DE 103 34 286.9

## VERIFICATION OF TRANSLATION

I,	vomih Stock hause 6 m 5 H
of <u>£</u> 1	Donih Stock hausen 6 m bH
declare as follows:	
1.	That I am well acquainted with both the English and German languages, and
	·
2.	that the attached document is a true and correct English translation controlled by me to
	the best of my knowledge and belief of:
	German priority application DE 103 34 286.9
<u>0). E</u>	05.2010 K.Kal
	Date Signature